

REMARKS

In this reply claims 37, 38, 49, 50, and 55 have been amended. Reconsideration of the outstanding rejections in the present application is respectfully requested based on the amendments and the following remarks.

Interview Summary

Applicant thanks Examiner Aggarwal for the telephone interview of August 24, 2010. Independent claims 37 and 49 have been amended pursuant to that interview to recite “determin[ing] an image format size of the first end use process reference to display the processed image using a predetermined image format size of the first destination.” As discussed during the interview, claims 37 and 49 are believed to be allowable, as are claims 38-40, 44-45, 47, 50, 55, and 56 that depend from claims 37 or 49.

35 U.S.C. §102 and §103 Rejections

Claims 37-39, 44, 47, 49 and 50 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over U.S. Patent No. 6,762,791 to Schuetzle. Claims 40, 45, 55, and 56 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Schuetzle in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,715,003 to Safai. These rejections are believed to be moot as discussed above.

CONCLUSION

This application is believed to be in condition for allowance, and such action is requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned with any further questions. The Commissioner believes additional fees are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees, which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number 50-3797.

Respectfully submitted,

/timothy g newman/
Timothy G. Newman; Reg. No. 34,228
LARSON NEWMAN & ABEL, LLP
5914 West Courtyard Dr., Suite 200
Austin, Texas 78730
(512) 439-7100 (phone)
(512) 439-7199 (fax)

09/14/2010
Date